

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff

v.

[13] AMADEO RODRÍGUEZ-NEGRÓN,

Defendant

CRIMINAL 08-0163 (ADC)

MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION  
RE: RULE 11(c)(1)(B) PROCEEDINGS (PLEA OF GUILTY)

I. Personal Background

On April 29, 2008, Amadeo Rodríguez-Negrón, the defendant herein, was charged in a six-count indictment. He agrees to plead guilty to count one of the indictment.

Count one charges that defendant and other persons, did knowingly and intentionally, combine, conspire, and agree with each other and with diverse other persons known and unknown to the Grand Jury, to commit an offense against the United States, that is, to knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute and/or to distribute controlled substances, to wit: in excess of 50 grams of cocaine base, a Schedule II Narcotic Drug Controlled Substance; and/or in excess of five kilograms of cocaine, a Schedule II, Narcotic Drug Controlled Substance; and/or in excess of 100 kilograms of marijuana, a Schedule I, Controlled Substance; within 1,000 feet of the real property comprising a public or private school, as prohibited by 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and 860. All in violation 21 U.S.C. § 846.

II. Consent to Proceed Before a Magistrate Judge

On April 17, 2009, while assisted by Juan Masini Soler, Esq., the defendant, by consent, appeared before me in order to change his previous not guilty plea to a plea of guilty as to count one of the indictment.

CRIMINAL 08-0163 (ADC)

2

In open court the defendant was questioned as to the purpose of the hearing being held. The defendant responded that the purpose of the hearing was to plead guilty. The defendant was advised of his right to have all proceedings, including the change of plea hearing, before a United States district judge. Defendant was given notice of: (a) the nature and purpose of the hearing; (b) the fact that all inquiries were to be conducted under oath and that it was expected that his answers would be truthful (he was also explained that the consequences of lying under oath could lead to a perjury charge); and (c) his right to have the change of plea proceedings presided over by a district judge instead of a magistrate judge. The defendant was also explained the differences between the appointment and functions of the two. The defendant consent to proceed before this magistrate judge.

### III. Proceedings Under Rule 11, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure

#### A. Compliance With Requirements Rule 11(c)(1)

Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure governs the acceptance of guilty pleas to federal criminal violations. Pursuant to Rule 11, in order for a plea of guilty to constitute a valid waiver of the defendant's right to trial, guilty pleas must be knowing and voluntary: "Rule 11 was intended to ensure that a defendant who pleads guilty does so with an 'understanding of the nature of the charge and consequences of his plea.'" United States v. Cotal-Crespo, 47 F.3d 1, 4 (1<sup>st</sup> Cir. 1995) (quoting McCarthy v. United States, 394 U.S. 459, 467 (1969)). [There are three core concerns in these proceedings]: 1) absence of coercion; 2) understanding of the charges; and 3) knowledge of the consequences of the guilty plea. United States v. Cotal-Crespo, 47 F.3d at 4 (citing United States v. Allard, 926 F.2d 1237, 1244-45 (1<sup>st</sup> Cir. 1991)).

United States v. Hernández-Wilson, 186 F.3d 1, 5 (1<sup>st</sup> Cir. 1999).

In response to further questioning, defendant was explained and he understood that if convicted on count one, he may be sentenced to a term of imprisonment of not less than 10 years or more than life, a fine not to exceed \$4,000,000, and a term of supervised release of at least five years. In accordance

1 CRIMINAL 08-0163 (ADC)

3

2  
3 with 21 U.S.C. § 860, the maximum penalties would be twice that of section 841, and  
4 at least twice the term of supervised release authorized by section 841.

5 Based on the stipulated and agreed amount of narcotics possessed by the  
6 defendant, that is, at least 20 grams but less than 35 grams of cocaine base, the  
7 penalty shall be a term of imprisonment of not less than five years and not more  
8 than 40 years, a fine not to exceed \$2,000.000, and a term of supervised release of  
9 at least four years, all pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 841(b)(1)(B). In accordance with 21  
10 U.S.C. § 860, the maximum penalties would be twice that of section 841(b)(1)(B),  
11 and at least twice the term of supervised release authorized by section 841(b)(1)(B).

12 Prior to defendant's change of plea hearing, the defendant shall pay a special  
13 monetary assessment of \$100, per offense of conviction.

14 The court may order the defendant to pay a fine sufficient to reimburse the  
15 government for the costs of any imprisonment, probation or supervised release  
16 ordered and also the court may impose restitution. The defendant agrees to produce  
17 complete information regarding all restitution victims and defendant agrees to  
18 execute a financial statement to the United States. The United States will make no  
19 recommendations as to the imposition of fines or restitution.

20 Defendant was advised that the ultimate sentence was a matter solely for the  
21 court to decide in its discretion and that, even if the maximum imprisonment term  
22 were to be imposed upon him, he later could not withdraw his guilty plea if he was  
23 unhappy with the sentence of the court. The defendant understood this.

24 Defendant was explained what the supervised release term means. It was  
25 emphasized that cooperation with the United States Probation officer would assist  
26 the court in reaching a fair sentence.

27 Emphasis was made on the fact that at this stage, no prediction or promises  
28 as to the sentence to be imposed could be made by anyone. Defendant responded to

1 CRIMINAL 08-0163 (ADC)

4

2  
3 questions in that no promises, threats, inducements or predictions as to what  
4 sentence will be imposed have been made to him.

5 B. Admonishment of Constitutional Rights

6 To assure defendant's understanding and awareness of his rights, defendant  
7 was advised of his right:

8 1. To remain silent at trial and be presumed innocent, since it is the  
9 government who has the burden of proving his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

10 2. To testify or not to testify at trial, and that no comment could be made by  
11 the prosecution in relation to his decision not to testify.

12 3. To a speedy trial before a district judge and a jury, at which he would be  
13 entitled to see and cross examine the government witnesses, present evidence on his  
14 behalf, and challenge the government's evidence.

15 4. To have a unanimous verdict rendered by a jury of twelve persons which  
16 would have to be convinced of defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt by means  
17 of competent evidence.

18 5. To use the subpoena power of the court to compel the attendance of  
19 witnesses.

20 Upon listening to the defendant's responses, observing his demeanor and his  
21 speaking with his attorney, that to the best of counsel's belief defendant had fully  
22 understood his rights, it is determined that defendant is aware of his constitutional  
23 rights.

24 C. Consequences of Pleading Guilty

25 Upon advising defendant of his constitutional rights, he was further advised  
26 of the consequences of pleading guilty. Specifically, defendant was advised that by  
27 pleading guilty and upon having his guilty plea accepted by the court, he will be  
28

1 CRIMINAL 08-0163 (ADC)

5

2  
3 giving up the above rights and would be convicted solely on his statement that he  
4 is guilty.

5 Furthermore, the defendant was admonished of the fact that when he were  
6 under supervised release, and upon violating the conditions of such release, that  
7 privilege could be revoked and he could be required to serve an additional term of  
8 imprisonment. He was also explained that parole has been abolished.

9 D. Plea Agreement

10 The parties have entered into a written plea agreement that, upon being  
11 signed by the government, defense attorney and defendant, was filed and made part  
12 of the record. Defendant was clearly warned and recognized having understood  
13 that:

14 1. The plea agreement is not binding upon the sentencing court.

15 2. The plea agreement is an "agreement" between the defendant, defense  
16 attorney and the attorney for the government which is presented as a  
17 recommendation to the court in regards to the applicable sentencing adjustments  
18 and guidelines, which are advisory.

19 3. The agreement provides a sentencing recommendation and/or anticipated  
20 sentencing guideline computation, that can be either accepted or rejected by the  
21 sentencing court.

22 4. In spite of the plea agreement and any sentencing recommendation  
23 contained therein, the sentencing court retains full discretion to reject such plea  
24 agreement and impose any sentence up to the possible maximum penalty prescribed  
25 by statute.

26 5. The defendant understands that if the court accepts this agreement and  
27 sentences defendant according to its terms and conditions, defendant waives and  
28 surrenders his right to appeal the conviction and sentence in this case.

1 CRIMINAL 08-0163 (ADC)

6

2  
3 Defendant acknowledged having understood this explanation.

4 E. Government's Evidence (Basis in Fact)

5 The government presented a proffer of its evidence with which the defendant  
6 basically concurred.

7 Accordingly, it is determined that there is a basis in fact and evidence to  
8 establish all elements of the offense charged.

9 F. Voluntariness

10 The defendant accepted that no leniency had been promised, no threats had  
11 been made to induce him to plead guilty and that he did not feel pressured to plead  
12 guilty. He came to the hearing for the purpose of pleading guilty and listened  
13 attentively as the prosecutor outlined the facts which it would prove if the case had  
14 proceeded to trial.

15 IV. Conclusion

16 The defendant, by consent, has appeared before me pursuant to Rule 11,  
17 Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and has entered a plea of guilty as to count one  
18 of the indictment.

19 After cautioning and examining the defendant under oath and in open court,  
20 concerning each of the subject matters mentioned in Rule 11, as described in the  
21 preceding sections, I find that the defendant Amadeo Rodríguez-Negrón, is  
22 competent to enter this guilty plea, is aware of the nature of the offense charged and  
23 the maximum statutory penalties that the same carries, understands that the charge  
24 is supported by the government's evidence, has admitted to every element of the  
25 offense charged, and has done so in an intelligent and voluntary manner with full  
26 knowledge of the consequences of his guilty plea.

27 Therefore, I recommend that the court accept the guilty plea of the defendant  
28 and that the defendant be adjudged guilty as to count one of the indictment. At the

1 CRIMINAL 08-0163 (ADC)

7

2  
3 sentencing, the United States shall request the dismissal of the remaining counts of  
4 the indictment.

5 This report and recommendation is filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B)  
6 and Rule 72(d) of the Local Rules of Court. Any objections to the same must be  
7 specific and must be filed with the Clerk of Court within five (5) days of its receipt.  
8 Rule 510.1, Local Rules of Court; Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). Failure to timely file specific  
9 objections to the report and recommendation is a waiver of the right to review by  
10 the district court. United States v. Valencia-Copete, 792 F.2d 4 (1<sup>st</sup> Cir. 1986).

11 At San Juan, Puerto Rico, this 20th day of April, 2009.

12 S/ JUSTO ARENAS  
13 Chief United States Magistrate Judge  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28